



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/619,154 07/14/2003		James Patrick Griffin JR.	91233.069703	6780		
44331	7590	10/08/2004	EXAMINER			
HISCOCK	& BARC	CLAY, LLP	STRIMBU, GREGORY J			
2000 HSBC	PLAZA					
ROCHESTE	R, NY	14604-2404	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
•				3634		

DATE MAILED: 10/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		App	lication No.	Applicant(s)						
			619,154	GRIFFIN, JAMES	PATRICK	4				
Office Action Summary		Exa	miner	Art Unit						
		Gre	gory J. Strimbu	3634						
	The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears	on the cover sheet with	the correspondence ad	ldress					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply specified above is less than thirty (5) period for reply is specified above, the maximum street or reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). I nunication. 80) days, a reply within atutory period will apply will, by statute, cause	n no event, however, may a repl the statutory minimum of thirty (i y and will expire SIX (6) MONTH the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed  30) days will be considered timel IS from the mailing date of this c IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status										
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on								
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL.	2b)∐ This actio	n is non-final.							
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposit	ion of Claims									
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the at 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/at Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> are subject to restriction	re withdrawn fro		-		11 A B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B				
Applicat	ion Papers									
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected to the oath or declaration is objected to the specific specif	: a) accepted ection to the drawing the correction is	ng(s) be held in abeyance required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 Cl						
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
12)[_ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority  2. Certified copies of the priority  3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	documents have documents have of the priority do nal Bureau (PC)	e been received. e been received in Appocuments have been re T Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National	Stage					
Attachmen	• •		»□····-	1070 1171						
2) Notice 3) Information	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or er No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/I	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTC	O-152)					

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Claims 1-27, drawn to a security device, classified in class 049, subclass
 460.

 Claim 28, drawn to a method of retrofitting a door and a door frame, classified in class 049, subclass 506.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product, such as one that does not include the step affixing an elongated frame plate to the corresponding vertical portion of the door frame. See claims 18 and 28.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Group III Figures 2-3

Group IV Figure 4

Application/Control Number: 10/619,154

Art Unit: 3634

Group V Figure 5

Group VI Figure 6

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-3, 5-claims 1-3, 5-10, 12-14, 18-21, 23-26 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/619,154 Page 4

Art Unit: 3634

A telephone call was made to Susan J. Timian on September 28, 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory J. Strimbu whose telephone number is 703-305-3979. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 703-308-2486. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gregory J. Strimbu Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634

September 28, 2004